

## A TOPOLOGICAL MINIMAX INEQUALITY WITH $\gamma$ -DCQCV AND ITS APPLICATIONS

WON KYU KIM\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using the  $\gamma$ -diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconcave condition, we will prove a new minimax inequality in a non-convex subset of a topological space which generalizes Fan's minimax inequality and its generalizations in several aspects.

### 1. Introduction

Fan's minimax inequality [3] is well-known and has become a versatile tool in nonlinear and convex analysis, and there have been numerous generalizations of Fan's minimax inequality using general concave conditions as in [4-9]. However, a number of generalizations of Fan's minimax inequality and their applications always work only in topological vector spaces, i.e., the linear structure and the continuity are always needed (e.g., see [5,6,8,9]).

In a recent paper [4], the author proved a generalization of Fan's minimax inequality using  $\gamma$ -diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconcave (simply,  $\gamma$ -DCQCV) condition, which means his minimax inequality works in a topological space without assuming the linear structure.

In this paper, using the  $\gamma$ -DCQCV condition, we will prove a new minimax inequality in a non-convex subset of a topological space which generalizes Fan's minimax inequality and its generalizations in several aspects. As an application, we will prove a basic inequality which is an useful tool for applications in a topological space.

---

Received December 07, 2011; Revised April 18, 2012; Accepted April 24, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 52A07.

Key words and phrases:  $\gamma$ -diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconcave, minimax inequality.

This work was supported by the research grant of the Chungbuk National University in 2011.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with some notations and definitions. If  $A$  is a subset of a vector space, we shall denote by  $co A$  the convex hull of  $A$ . Denote by  $[0, 1]^n$  the Cartesian product of  $n$  unit intervals  $[0, 1] \times \cdots \times [0, 1]$ , and denote the unit simplex in  $[0, 1]^n$  by  $\Delta_n$ , and simply denote  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_n$ .

In a recent paper [4], the author introduced a general concave condition in a topological space without the linear structure as follows:

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $Y$  be a nonempty subset of a topological space  $X$ . Then  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is called *diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconcave* (simply, DCQCV) on  $Y$  if for every  $n \geq 2$ , whenever  $n$  points  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$  are given, there exists a continuous function  $\phi_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$f(\phi_n(\lambda), \phi_n(\lambda)) \geq \min\{f(\phi_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), y_i) \mid i \in J\}$$

for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_n$ , where  $J = \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \lambda_i \neq 0\}$ ; and  $f$  is called  *$\gamma$ -diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconcave* (simply,  $\gamma$ -DCQCV) on  $Y$  for some  $\gamma \in (-\infty, \infty]$  if for every  $n \geq 2$ , whenever  $n$  points  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$  are given, there exists a continuous function  $\phi_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\gamma \geq \min\{f(\phi_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), y_i) \mid i \in J\}$$

for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_n$ , where  $J = \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \lambda_i \neq 0\}$ . Similarly, we can define the diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconvex (simply, DCQCV) and  $\gamma$ -diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconvex (simply,  $\gamma$ -DCQCV) conditions for  $f$ .

**REMARK 2.2.** (1) As remarked in [4], in Definition 2.1, when  $X$  is a topological vector space, the diagonal quasiconcavity and  $\gamma$ -diagonal quasiconcavity, introduced by Chang-Zhang [5] and Zhou-Chen [6], clearly imply the DCQCV and  $\gamma$ -DCQCV conditions for  $f$ , respectively, by letting  $\phi_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) := \lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n x_n$  for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_n$ , and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Therefore, the  $\gamma$ -DCQCV condition generalizes many previous concave conditions including the quasiconcave, CF-concave,  $\mathcal{C}$ -concave, diagonally quasiconcave, and  $\gamma$ -diagonally quasiconcave conditions without assuming the linear structure.

(2) When  $X$  is a topological vector space and the case  $X = Y$  in the above definition, as shown in [4], we can obtain the following implication diagram for general concave conditions, and the converse can not be true in each step:

linear  $\implies$  concave  $\implies$  quasiconcave  $\implies$  CF-concave  $\implies$   $\mathcal{C}$ -concave  
 $\implies$  diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -concave  $\implies$  diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconcave  
 $\implies$   $\gamma$ -DCQCV

Throughout this paper, all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff, and for the other standard notations and terminologies, we shall refer to [4-7].

### 3. A non-convex minimax inequality and its applications

Using the  $\gamma$ -DCQCV condition, we will prove a new non-convex minimax inequality in a topological space without assuming the linear structure which is slightly different from Theorem 1 in [4] as follow:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compact topological space,  $D$  a nonempty subset of  $X$  having more than two points, and let  $f, g : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be two functions satisfying*

- (1) for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$ ;
- (2) for each  $y \in X$ ,  $x \mapsto f(x, y)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $X$ ;
- (3) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $y \mapsto g(x, y)$  is  $\gamma$ -DCQCV on  $D$  when  $\gamma := \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x)$ ;
- (4) for each  $y \in X \setminus D$ ,  $f(x, y) \leq \gamma$  for all  $x \in D$ .

Then the minimax inequality

$$\min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x)$$

holds.

*Proof.* If  $\gamma = +\infty$ , then we have done so that we may assume that  $\gamma < +\infty$ . Suppose the contrary, i.e.,

$$\min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in X} f(x, y) > \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x) = \gamma.$$

Then, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists an  $y \in X$  such that  $f(x, y) > \gamma$ .

For each  $y \in X$ , we let

$$U(y) := \{x \in X \mid f(x, y) > \gamma\}.$$

Note that  $y \notin U(y)$  since  $f(y, y) \leq g(y, y) \leq \gamma$  for all  $y \in X$ . By the assumption (2),  $U(y)$  is (possibly empty) open in  $X$  for each  $y \in X$ , and  $X = \bigcup_{y \in X} U(y)$ . Since  $X$  is compact, there exists a finite set

$\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U(y_i)$ . By the coercive assumption (4), we know that for each  $y \in X \setminus D$ ,  $U(y) \subset X \setminus D$  so that  $\bigcup_{y \in X \setminus D} U(y) \subseteq X \setminus D$  and so  $D \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in D} U(y)$ . Therefore, by renumbering the indices, we can divide the finite set  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  into two subsets  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset D$  and  $\{y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n\} \subset X \setminus D$  such that  $D \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m U(y_i)$  and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U(y_i)$ . Here we note that  $\{y_{m+1}, \dots, y_n\}$  might be an empty set.

Since  $X$  is compact, there exists a partition of unity  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  subordinated to the open covering  $\{U(y_1), \dots, U(y_n)\}$  of  $X$ , i.e.,

$$0 \leq \alpha_i(x) \leq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in X, \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

and if  $x \notin U(y_j)$  for some  $j$ , then  $\alpha_j(x) = 0$ .

For the nonempty finite set  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset D$ , since  $g$  is  $\gamma$ -DCQCV on  $D$ , there exists a continuous mapping  $\phi_m : \Delta_m \rightarrow D$  satisfying the condition

$$\gamma = \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x) \geq \min\{g(\phi_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m), y_j) \mid j \in J\} \quad (*)$$

for all  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \Delta_m$ , where  $J = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \mid \lambda_j \neq 0\}$ .

For each  $x \in D$  ( $\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m U(y_i)$ ), we have  $\alpha_j(x) = 0$  for all  $j = m+1, \dots, n$  so that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i(x) = 1$ . Therefore, we can define a continuous map  $\alpha : D \rightarrow \Delta_m$  by  $\alpha(x) := (\alpha_1(x), \dots, \alpha_m(x))$  for each  $x \in D$ , and consider a continuous map  $\Phi : \Delta_m \rightarrow \Delta_m$  defined by

$$\Phi(\lambda) := \alpha \circ \phi_m(\lambda) = \left( \alpha_1(\phi_m(\lambda)), \dots, \alpha_m(\phi_m(\lambda)) \right) \text{ for each } \lambda \in \Delta_m.$$

Since  $\phi_m$  and each  $\alpha_i$  are continuous,  $\Phi : \Delta_m \rightarrow \Delta_m$  is continuous on a compact convex set  $\Delta_m$ . Therefore, by Brouwer's fixed point theorem, there exists a fixed point  $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m) \in \Delta_m$  for  $\Phi$ , i.e.,

$$\Phi(\bar{\lambda}) = \alpha \circ \phi_m(\bar{\lambda}) = \left( \alpha_1(\phi_m(\bar{\lambda})), \dots, \alpha_m(\phi_m(\bar{\lambda})) \right) = (\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m).$$

Here we let  $\bar{x} = \phi_m(\bar{\lambda})$ , then  $\bar{\lambda} = \alpha(\bar{x})$ . By the inequality (\*), we have

$$\gamma = \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x) \geq \min\{g(\bar{x}, y_j) \mid j \in J\} \geq \min\{f(\bar{x}, y_j) \mid j \in J\}, \quad (\dagger)$$

where  $J = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \mid \bar{\lambda}_j \neq 0\}$ . Here we note that for each  $j \in J$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_j \neq 0$  which means  $\alpha_j(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ . Hence, we have that for

each  $j \in J$ ,  $\bar{x} \in U(y_j)$  so that  $f(\bar{x}, y_j) > \gamma$ . Therefore, from the inequality (†), we have

$$\gamma \geq \min\{g(\bar{x}, y_j) \mid j \in J\} \geq \min\{f(\bar{x}, y_j) \mid j \in J\} > \gamma,$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 3.2. Theorem 3.1 generalizes Fan’s minimax inequality [3], minimax inequalities due to Chang-Zhang [5], Kim-Lee [7], Kim [4], Tan [8], and Zhou-Chen [6] in the following aspects:

(a) the function  $y \mapsto f(x, y)$  need not be quasiconcave,  $\mathcal{C}$ -concave,  $\gamma$ -DQCV nor diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -concave on  $X$ . Indeed, the weaker assumption  $\gamma$ -DCQCV is sufficient.

(b) When  $X = D$  in Theorem 3.1, the coercivity assumption (4) is automatically satisfied so that Theorem 3.1 reduces to Theorem 1 [4]. Indeed, in Theorem 1 [4],  $\gamma$ -DCQCV condition should be needed on a compact set  $D$ , however, in the above,  $\gamma$ -DCQCV condition is needed on any nonempty subset  $D$  of  $X$  having more than two points.

Next, we can obtain a generalization of Fan’s minimax inequality in a topological vector space as an application of Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $X$  be a compact convex subset of a topological vector space,  $D$  a nonempty subset of  $X$  having more than two points, and let  $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a function satisfying*

- (1) *for each  $x \in X$ ,  $y \mapsto f(x, y)$  is quasiconcave on  $X$ ;*
- (2) *for each  $y \in X$ ,  $x \mapsto f(x, y)$  is lower semicontinuous on  $X$ ;*
- (3) *for each  $y \in X \setminus D$ ,  $f(x, y) \leq \sup_{z \in X} f(z, z) = \gamma$  for all  $x \in D$ ;*
- (4) *for each  $y \in D$ ,  $f(x, y) > \gamma$  for all  $x \in X \setminus D$ .*

*Then we have  $\min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x, x)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\gamma = +\infty$ , then we have done so that we may assume that  $\gamma < +\infty$ . In order to apply Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $y \mapsto f(x, y)$  is  $\gamma$ -diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconcave on  $D$ . Indeed, in the previous Remark 3.2, we know that quasiconcave condition implies the  $\gamma$ -DCQCV condition. Here, for the completeness, we can give a direct proof by using the well-known Fan minimax inequality. For every  $n \geq 2$ , let  $n$  points  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset D$  be given and let  $K := \text{co}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a compact convex subset of  $X$ . Consider the restriction  $g = f|_K : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $f$  on  $K \times K$ . Then  $g$  satisfies the whole assumption of Theorem 1 due to Fan [3] so that we have

$$\min_{x \in K} \sup_{y \in K} g(x, y) \leq \sup_{y \in K} g(y, y);$$

i.e., there exists  $x_0 \in K$  such that

$$\sup_{y \in K} g(x_0, y) \leq \sup_{y \in K} g(y, y) \leq \sup_{y \in X} f(y, y) = \gamma.$$

Therefore, by the assumption (4),  $x_0 \in K$  must be an element of  $D$  since  $K \cap D \neq \emptyset$ .

We now define a constant continuous map  $\phi_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow D$  by  $\phi_n(\lambda) := x_0$  for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_n$ . Then, we have

$$\min\{f(\phi_n(\lambda), y_i) \mid i \in J\} \leq \sup\{f(x_0, y_i) \mid i \in J\} \leq \sup_{y \in K} f(y, y) \leq \gamma,$$

where  $J = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid \lambda_j \neq 0\}$ , so that  $f$  is  $\gamma$ -diagonally  $\mathcal{C}$ -quasiconcave on  $D$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 3.4. (1) Modifying the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can replace the quasiconcave assumption (1) by the following general concave conditions without affecting the conclusion:

- (a) the linear or concave condition due to von Neumann [10];
- (b) the quasiconcave condition due to Fan [3];
- (c) the  $\mathcal{C}$ -concave condition due to Kim-Lee [7];
- (d) the diagonally concave condition due to Zhou-Chen [6];

(2) When  $X = D$  is compact and convex, then the assumptions (3) and (4) of Theorem 3.3 are automatically satisfied so that the minimax inequality due to Fan [3] is a consequence of Theorem 3.3.

### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions for improvement of the paper.

### References

- [1] K. Fan, Fixed point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **38** (1952), 121–126.
- [2] K. Fan, Minimax theorems. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **39** (1953), 42–47.
- [3] K. Fan, A Minimax inequality and applications, In *Inequalities III* (Edited by O. Shisha) Proc. of 3rd Symposium on Inequalities, 103–113, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [4] W. K. Kim, Generalized  $\mathcal{C}$ -concave Conditions and Their Applications, *Acta Math. Hungarica* **130** (2010), 140–154.
- [5] S.-S. Chang and Y. Zhang, Generalized KKM theorem and variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **159** (1991), 208–223.

- [6] J. Zhou and G. Chen, Diagonal convexity conditions for problems in convex analysis and quasi-variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **132** (1988), 213–225.
- [7] W. K. Kim and K. H. Lee, On the existence of Nash equilibrium in N-person games with  $\mathcal{C}$ -concavity, *Computers & Math. Appl.* **44** (2002), 1219–1228.
- [8] K.-K. Tan, Comparison theorems on minimax inequalities, variational inequalities and fixed point theorems, *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1983), 555–562.
- [9] G.-S. Tang, C.-Z. Cheng and B.-L. Lin, Some generalized Ky Fan's inequalities, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **13** (2009), 239–251.
- [10] J. von Neumann, Zur Theorie der Gesellschaftsspiele, *Math. Ann.* 100 (1928), 295–320.

\*

Department of Mathematics Education  
Chungbuk National University  
Cheongju 361-763, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: wkkim@chungbuk.ac.kr